DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

Current Human Exposures Under Control

Facility Name:

Western New York Nuclear Service Center

Facility Address:

10282 Rock Springs Road, West Valley, New York

Facility EPA ID #:

NYD986905545

| 1. | Has all available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, groundwater, surface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Wast Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in | | | | |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | this EI determination? | | | | |
| | , <u>X</u> | If yes - check here and continue with #2 below. | | | |
| | | If no - re-evaluate existing data, or | | | |

if data are not available skip to #6 and enter"IN" (more information needed) status code.

BACKGROUND

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

| 2. | Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air media known or reasonably suspected to be |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | "contaminated" above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as |
| | well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA |
| | Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)? |

| | Yes No | ? | Rationale / Key Contaminants |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Groundwater | <u>X</u> | | |
| Air (indoors) ² | <u>X</u> | | |
| Surface Soil (e.g | g., $<2 \text{ ft}$) $\underline{\underline{X}}$ | | 1 |
| Surface Water | | | |
| Sediment | X X | | |
| | - >2 (c) V | | |
| Subsurf. Soil (e. | | See des | scription below |
| Air (outdoors) | <u>X</u> | | |
| | | | |
| | If no (for all media) - ski appropriate "levels," and that these "levels" are no | referencing suffi | r "YE," status code after providing or citing cient supporting documentation demonstrating |
| | | | |
| <u>X</u> | "contaminated" medium, | citing appropriated | ntifying key contaminants in each te "levels" (or provide an explanation for the an unacceptable risk), and referencing |
| | If unknown (for any med | lia) - skip to #6 ar | nd enter "IN" status code. |

Rationale and Reference(s): A RCRA Facility Investigation (RFI) of the State Licensed Disposal Area (SDA) at the Western New York Nuclear Service Center has been completed. Results of the RFI indicate that subsurface soils beneath a former surface impoundment and immediately adjacent to the disposal trenches are contaminated with mixed (radioactive and hazardous) waste constituents. Classes of constituents detected in trench leachate include volatile and semi-volatile organics, PCB's, metals and cyanide. Additional details on the nature of contamination can be found in the "RCRA Facility Investigation for NYSERDA-Maintained Portions of the Western New York Nuclear Service Center, Final Report, E & E, December 1994".

Footnotes:

¹ "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

² Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

3. Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

| Potential | Human | Receptors | (Under | Current | Conditions' |
|-----------|-------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------|
|-----------|-------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------|

| Groundwate Air (indoors Soil (surfac Surface Wat | t) e, e.g., <2 ft) | Residents Worker | rs Day-Care | Construction —— | Trespassers | Recreation Fo | ood³ — |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Sediment Soil (subsur Air (outdoo | face e.g., >2 ft) | | | <u>NO</u> | | | _ |
| Instructions | for Summary E | Exposure Pathway I | Evaluation Ta | ble: | | | |
| 1. "co | Strike-out speci ntaminated") as | ific Media includin s identified in #2 ab | g Human Red | ceptors' space | s for Media w | hich are not | |
| 2. Rec | enter "yes" or " ceptor combinat | no" for potential "c tion (Pathway). | completeness' | ' under each '' | Contaminated | " Media Hur | man |
| Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces (""). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary. | | | | | | | |
| _X | in-place, veach contains | nways are not comp , and enter "YE" st whether natural or a aminated medium (aajor pathways). | atus code, afi man-made, p | er explaining eventing a co | and/or referen | icing condition | (s) |
| | If yes (pat | thways are completed on) - continue after | e for any "Co r providing si | entaminated" I | Media - Huma lanation. | n Receptor | |
| | If unknow and enter | n (for any "Contar "IN" status code | ninated" Med | ia - Human R | eceptor comb | ination) - skip | to #6 |
| | | | | | | | |

Rationale and Reference(s): Under current conditions, human exposure pathways are incomplete. The disposal areas are capped, infiltration controls in place and access to the area is restricted via fencing and a 24 hour security patrol. Due to radiological concerns, any intrusive activity must be previously approved and tightly monitored with appropriate personal protective equipment used.

³ Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

| 4 | Can the exposures from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) greater in magnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the acceptable "levels" (used to identify the "contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude (perhaps even though low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the acceptable "levels") could result in greater than acceptable risks)? | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant." | | | | |
| | If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant." | | | | |
| | If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code Rationale and Reference(s): | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

⁴ If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

| 5 | Can the "significant" exposures (identified in #4) be shown to be within acceptable limits? If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) - continue and enter "YE" after summarizing and referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment). If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable")- | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| | continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure. | | | | |
| | If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) - continue and enter "IN" status code | | | | |
| • | Rationale and Reference(s): | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| (CA725), and o | Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control EI event code (CA725), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (and attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility): | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| <u>X</u> | review of the information cor Exposures" are expected to be Service Center facility, EPA Road, West Valley, New Yo | Exposures Under Control" has been verified. Based on a stained in this EI Determination, "Current Human e "Under Control" at the Western New York Nuclear ID #NYD986905545, located at 10282 Rock Spring rk under current and reasonably expected conditions. This uated when the Agency/State becomes aware of significant | | | |
| • | NO - "Current Human Expo | sures" are NOT "Under Control." | | | |
| | IN - More information is n | eeded to make a determination. | | | |
| Completed by | (signature) (print)Kent D. Johnson (title)Engineering Geologist 2 | Date | | | |
| Supervisor | (signature) (print) (title) (EPA Region or State) | | | | |
| Locations wher | e References may be found: | | | | |
| New York State Departm | | ation, 270 Michigan Avenue, Buffalo, NY 14203 851-7220 | | | |
| New York State Departm | 50 Wolf Road, Room 4 | ation, Division of Hazardous Substances Regulation 50, Albany, NY 12233-7251 457-9253 | | | |
| Contact telepho | one and e-mail numbers | | | | |
| (phone |) Kent D. Johnson e #) (518) 457-9253 il) kdjohnso@gw.dec.state.nv.u | John Krajewski (716) 851-7220 Jlkrajew@gw.dec.state.nv.us | | | |

FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES EI IS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.